

①
RECEIVED

DEC 19 2025

Case NO. 2025-00257

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Attention Kpsc:

I am responding to the rate increase Kypco has applied for.

on page 8. of application, Item 12
"Rate Mitigation"

(B) Kypco is purposing a 10% ROE. I as Kpsc to deny this request because

(1) 70% of most utilities only make 6, 7, 8% ROE.

(2) Kypco now makes over 9% ROE and their service area is one of the poorest in America

(3) Since Kypco has no risk and Earning is garranted, then the 9% + they make is too much.

(4) If Kypco gets a rate increase on power generated & a Increase on ROE. Then Kypco will get a double increase on Income & profits. Why should Kypco put more burden poor people of East Ky. Expensive electricity is one reason Industry will not ^{companies} locate in East Ky. Most private decreases their expense to increase their ROE, while Kypco raises expense to

②

increase their ROE. I ask Kpsc to deny Kypco ROE increase.

application page 8

(d) to remove storm expense from base rates

I ask Kpsc to deny Kypco request to remove storm expense because

(1) If storm expense is removed from base rates then Kypco would gain more income from Kypco customers and also from the base rates, since base rates are not reduced

application page 9 #14)

(#4) proposed Tariffs I ask Kpsc to deny all new tariff because ~~that~~ (1) 30% of all electric bills are tariffs & add on. Kypco customers cannot afford any more

② are these tariffs really a expense or just something made up.

application page 9

(16) Proposed adjustments

③

This revenue requirement increased of \$175,269,689 is unfair to its customers. I ask KPSC to deny This request for rate increase. Because

① The huge burden Kypco is putting on its customers

② Kypco customers has not received a increase on their incomes to cover this increase.

Page 10 17. New and modified programs

(a) Creating a new Generation Ride (GR Rider)

I ask KPSC to deny this rider because:

① Unfair to Kypco customers plus 30% of our power bills are additions on tariffs.

② wheeling power has received payment two times for Mitchell power plant. Mitchell customers paid one time now Kypco customers are paying for mitchell a 2nd time.

③ Mitchell started operating in 1971. wheeling power co. owned mitchell 42 yrs before they sold Kypco 50% of mitchell to Kypco. Kypco took control of

④

of Mitchell Jan 2014 & has been paying 12 yrs on 50% Mitchell power plant.

④ wheeling power has owned Mitchell power plant for 54 yrs. Counting its 50%. I think all depreciation has been used up in Mitchell power plant

⑤ I thought you got your depreciation on investment when you did Gov. your federal Income taxes from federal

⑥ IS AEP & Kypco going to rob its customers again

page 10 fixed Cost

(C) I ask KPSC to deny any fixed Cost increases because:

(1) Kypco customers cannot afford any increases.

(2) does it really cost any more to perform these tasks.

page 11

(d) purposing a residential rate with two-tier customer change: I think this is a bad idea & bad for Kypco customers. I ask KPSC to deny this request because

⑤

① Kypco is a utility co. . all residential customers should receive electricity at the same price no matter how much they use or don't use.

② a lot of people use their electricity wisely to keep their power bills down where they can afford to pay them.

③ a lot of people use wood fire places & stoves to supplement their heat to keep their power bill down where they can afford to pay them.

④ The Kypco customers that try to keep their electric bills affordable should not be punished because they use less electricity by increasing the base rate they pay for electricity.

⑤ It is illegal to charge one residential customer more for electricity than another customer.

Page 11 Dr. Tariff

(I) I ask the Kypsc to put the d.R. tariff to rest or stop using it. We have been pay for 12 yrs of decommissioning of Louisiana power plant. I ask KPSC

⑥

to deny this request

Page 16; Item 28

(a) gas sales gains & Losses

I ask Kpsc to deny to repay the \$1.9 million lost in natural gas sales. Kypco customers should not be responsible for Kypco negligence. They need to be held responsible some times.

I believe the test year Kypco does every three years is a waste of money. Why can't Kypco use previous years expense to base its expense on. The test year is out of date plus very expensive on Kypco customers. Kypco should have to wait 5 yrs to ask for a rate increase. Also the lawyers & witness Kypco customers has to pay is very expensive & not needed. I ask the Kpsc to keep the debt down as much as possible. Kypco make a lot of money from debt.

Respectfully
Fredrick Coleman